Contact Information

All correspondence should be addressed to the Probation Services Task Force at:

Judicial Council of California Administrative Office of the Courts Center for Families, Children & the Courts 455 Golden Gate Avenue, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

Telephone: 415-865-7701 Fax: 415-865-7217 TDD: 415-865-4272

E-mail: probation@jud.ca.gov

This report is also available on our Web site at http://www2.courtinfo.ca.gov/probation. For additional copies of this report, write to the address above.

PROBATION SERVICES TASK FORCE

Task Force Members

HON. PATRICIA BAMATTRE-MANOUKIAN, CHAIR Associate Justice Court of Appeal of the State of California Sixth Appellate District

HON. IRMA J. BROWN Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

HON. DENNY BUNGARZ Supervisor, Glenn County

HON. TRISH CLARKE Supervisor, Shasta County

MR. ALAN M. CROGAN Chief Probation Officer San Diego County

MR. WILLIAM H. DAVIDSON Chief Probation Officer Merced County

HON. RONN DOMINICI Supervisor, Madera County

Ms. Sheila Gonzalez Regional Administrative Director Southern California Administrative Office of the Courts

HON. STEVEN E. JAHR Superior Court of California County of Shasta

MR. MICHAEL D. JOHNSON County Administrative Officer Solano County MR. PHIL KADER Probation Services Manager Fresno County

MR. BILL MAHONEY Assistant County Executive Officer Orange County

HON. KEVIN M. MCCARTHY Superior Court of California County of San Francisco

MR. RALPH MILLER President, Los Angeles County Probation Union

MR. PAUL NICOLOSI Deputy Probation Officer II Contra Costa County

HON. FRANK J. OCHOA Superior Court of California County of Santa Barbara

MR. MICHAEL M. RODDY Regional Administrative Director Northern/Central California Administrative Office of the Courts

HON. JOHN TAVAGLIONE Supervisor, Riverside County

MR. JOHN WARDELL Chief Probation Officer Butte County

FORMER TASK FORCE MEMBERS

HON. JUAN ARAMBULA Supervisor, Fresno County

HON. TERRY FRIEDMAN
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

MR. BRYCE JOHNSON Probation Officer Mariposa County

HON. WILLIAM S. LEBOV Superior Court of California County of Yolo

HON. BARBARA MCIVER Supervisor, Tehama County

HON. MIKE NEVIN Supervisor, San Mateo County

MR. JOHN P. RHOADS Chief Probation Officer Santa Cruz County

Task Force Staff, Liaison, & Consultant

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

PROJECT STAFF

Ms. AUDREY EVJE, Attorney
Center for Families, Children & the Courts

Ms. ALLISON SCHURMAN, Administrative Coordinator Center for Families, Children & the Courts

LIAISON

Ms. June Clark, Senior Attorney Office of Government Affairs

CALIFORNIA STATE ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

LIAISON

Ms. ELIZABETH HOWARD Senior Legislative Analyst

MR. RUBIN LOPEZ Legislative Representative

BBBBB

CONSULTANT TO THE TASK FORCE

MR. ALAN SCHUMAN

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We extend our thanks to all who contributed to the production of this report and assisted the Probation Services Task Force in its endeavors.

- We are truly grateful to the nearly 300 participants in Fresno, Glenn, Los Angeles, Placer, Santa Clara, and Santa Cruz counties who assisted with the snapshot study. These judiciary and court administration staff; senior probation officer staff, first-line supervisors, and line staff; county supervisors, administrative officers, and their representatives; prosecutors and defense bar; county community services agency staff; and private community service agency staff provided valuable information on probation in California that both informed the task force and helped identify priority issues.
- We express our heartfelt thanks to the 141 justice system participants who responded to our stakeholder survey. The input supplied by these chief probation officers, line probation officers, judicial officers, court administrators, county supervisors, county administrators, district attorneys, and defense attorneys provided the task force with a broad range of firsthand information regarding the views of participants and stakeholders. This input benefited the task force tremendously.
- We express our deep appreciation to the approximately 325 judicial officers, county supervisors and administrators, probation officers, public defenders, district attorneys, and other justice system participants who contributed input at the stakeholder roundtables and provided us with valuable information about the probation system.
- We are especially thankful to the more than 150 adult and juvenile probationers who participated in the roundtable discussions and provided us with their perspectives on the probation system.

- We are exceedingly grateful to Mr. Dennis Maloney of the Department of Community Justice in Deschutes County, Oregon; Ms. Dimitria Pope of the Research, Evaluation, and Development Unit of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice; Mr. Frank Carmen of Juvenile Justice Services of the Supreme Court of the State of Arizona; Mr. Bert Aunan of Supervision Services of the State of Iowa; and Mr. Bill Burrell of the Probation Services Division of the Administrative Office of the New Jersey Courts for sharing their expertise and participating in the task force meetings.
- We express our profound appreciation to the individuals and organizations that commented on the *Probation Services Task Force Draft Interim Report*. The insightful comments were of great assistance to the task force.
- We are sincerely appreciative of the State Coalition of Probation Officers for its ongoing participation and interest in our efforts.
- We are exceptionally thankful to Ms. Norma Suzuki, Executive Director
 of the Chief Probation Officers of California, for her devoted participation
 and commitment to furthering the work of the task force.
- Finally, we express our gratitude to former task force members Hon. Juan Arumbula, Hon. Terry Friedman, Mr. Bryce Johnson, Hon. William Lebov, Hon. Barbara MacIver, Hon. Mike Nevin, and Mr. John Rhoads. Their excellent work as task force members is much appreciated.

Without the dedication and participation of each of these individuals and organizations, the Probation Services Task Force would not have been able to produce this final report and develop meaningful recommendations aimed at enhancing probation service delivery in California.